BEFORE

THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF

SOUTH CAROLINA

DOCKET NO. 2014-346-W/S

II	N RE:)
Application of Daufuskie Island Utility Company, Inc. for Approval for Water and Sewer Rates, Terms and Conditions		y, Inc. for Approval for Water) DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
1	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, ADDRESS AND BUSINESS
2		AFFILIATION.
3	A.	My name is Tony Simonelli. My address is 30 Fuskie Lane, Daufuskie Island
4		South Carolina 29915. I have been a real estate broker on Daufuskie Island for
5		the past eleven (11) years. I was previously Sr. Vice-President for Dendrite
6		International, a technology firm associated with the pharmaceutical industry.
7		Prior to that, I was Vice-President for Human Resources and Administration for a
8		major international shipping company.
9		
10	Q.	ON WHOSE BEHALF ARE YOU TESTIFYING?
11	A.	I am testifying on behalf of Melrose Property Owner's Association, Inc.
12		("MPOA"), and Bloody Point Property Owner's Association ("BPPOA"), both
13		intervenors in this Docket.
14		

1	Q.	MR. SIMONELLI, ARE YOU AUTHORIZED TO TESTIFY BEFORE
2		THE COMMISSION IN THIS RATE PROCEEDING?
3	A.	Yes. The Boards of Directors of the BPPOA and the MPOA have authorized me
4		to appear and present the views of those organizations regarding the rate increase
5		application (the "Application") filed with the Public Service Commission (the
6		"Commission") by Daufuskie Island Utility Company, Inc. ("DIUC").
7		
8	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?
9	A.	I am here to provide the position of BPPOA and MPOA in response to certain
10		aspects of DIUC's current application to increase its rates.
11		
12	Q.	WHAT IS THE HISTORY OF YOUR CONNECTION WITH DAUFUSKIE
12 13	Q.	WHAT IS THE HISTORY OF YOUR CONNECTION WITH DAUFUSKIE ISLAND?
	Q.	
13		ISLAND?
13 14		ISLAND? I have been involved with Daufuskie Island since 1986, a property owner since
13 14 15		ISLAND? I have been involved with Daufuskie Island since 1986, a property owner since 1999, and a full time resident since 2003. I am currently President of the Bloody
13 14 15 16		ISLAND? I have been involved with Daufuskie Island since 1986, a property owner since 1999, and a full time resident since 2003. I am currently President of the Bloody Point Property Owners Association and have been for the past ten years. I also
13 14 15 16		ISLAND? I have been involved with Daufuskie Island since 1986, a property owner since 1999, and a full time resident since 2003. I am currently President of the Bloody Point Property Owners Association and have been for the past ten years. I also
113 114 115 116 117	A.	I have been involved with Daufuskie Island since 1986, a property owner since 1999, and a full time resident since 2003. I am currently President of the Bloody Point Property Owners Association and have been for the past ten years. I also served a two-year term on the Daufuskie Island Council.
113 114 115 116 117 118	A. Q.	Island? I have been involved with Daufuskie Island since 1986, a property owner since 1999, and a full time resident since 2003. I am currently President of the Bloody Point Property Owners Association and have been for the past ten years. I also served a two-year term on the Daufuskie Island Council. PLEASE DESCRIBE MELROSE PLANTATION AND THE MPOA.

1		the owners of the developed and undeveloped residential lots within Melrose
2		Plantation.
3		
4	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE BLOODY POINT AND THE BPPOA.
5	A.	Bloody Point is a residential development on Daufuskie that was begun in 1989.
6		It currently consists of 110 lots, 21 of which are developed, and 89 of which are
7		undeveloped. The Bloody Point Property Owners Association is an association
8		whose members are the owners of developed and undeveloped properties within
9		Bloody Point.
10		
11	Q.	HOW DO OAK RIDGE AND BEACH FIELDS FIT WITHIN THE
12		BLOODY POINT/MELROSE AREA?
13	A.	Both Oak Ridge and Beach Fields are served by the Melrose/Bloody Point portion
14		of the utility system. They are both largely undeveloped tracts. Oak Ridge
15		contains 60 lots – none of which are developed. Beach Field consists of 56 lots –
16		4 of which are developed and 52 undeveloped.
17		
18	Q.	WHAT IS THE BLOODY POINT/MELROSE POA'S GENERAL
19		POSITION WITH REGARD TO THIS RATE REQUEST?
20	A.	The Utility is proposing to increase the water and sewer rates it will impose upon
21		our members by between 211% and 238%. An increase of this magnitude is both
22		outrageous, and completely unsupported by the evidence DIUC is presenting to
23		this Commission. The Utility has done an extremely poor job of managing the

system on the Daufuskie Island. This is a utility system that is located on South Carolina's southern-most barrier island. The Commission well knows from our night hearing that access to the island is by private ferry. There is limited public ferry service to Daufuskie. DIUC's utilization of Guastella Associates to manage its daily operations from Guastella's offices in Boston is expensive and it is not working. The Commission should deny DIUC any rate increase until it can first demonstrate to this Commission that it is capable of proper management.

For these reasons, the Commission should deny DIUC's request to increase its rates.

A.

Q. ARE THE EXPENSES DIUC PAYS ITS ABSENTEE MANAGER

APPROPRIATE?

I do not believe so. For the test year ended December 31, 2014, the expenses for Outside Management Services were greater than the wages paid to those who operated and maintained the system. We believe that a discrepancy of this magnitude is excessive. On-site management that is more connected with Daufuskie Island would not only improve services, it would also ensure more complete billing and would likely cost substantially less. It is entirely possible that an on-site manager would also eliminate DIUC's perceived need to hire additional labor.

1	Q.	WHAT IS THE MELROSE/BLOODY POINT ASSOCIATIONS'			
2		POSITION IN RESPONSE TO THE UTILITY'S REQUEST TO UNIFY			
3		ITS RATE STRUCT	TURE?		
4	A.	The Commission shou	ald deny this request.	Customers within	Melrose and
5		Bloody Point currently	y receive a 22,500 gal	lon water allowand	ce per quarter. Since
6		our sewer bills are bas	sed upon water usage,	this allowance app	plies to the sewer
7		rates that Melrose and	l Bloody Point custom	ers pay as well. H	Taig Point customers
8		do not receive this usa	age allowance. Instea	d, their water and s	sewer usage charge
9		begins with the first 1	,000 gallons of water	a customer consun	nes.
10					
11		As a result of these ra	te differences, the ave	rage customer with	hin Melrose/Bloody
12		Point presently pays n	materially less for his	or her residential w	vater and sewer
13		service than the avera	ge customer within H	aig Point.	
14					
15	Q.	HOW WILL DIUC'S	S RATE REQUEST	DIFFERENTLY	AFFECT THE
16		MELROSE AND HA	AIG POINT CUSTO	MERS?	
17	A.	It is easiest to see the	difference by looking	at a typical custon	ner who uses exactly
18		the 22,500 gallon quar	rterly allowance. The	comparison is as t	follows:
19		Dollar Increase of Ro	esidential Water & S	sewer Rates Prop	osed by DIUC:
20			Mel./Bloody Pt	Haig Pt	DIUC Proposed
21		Residential Water:	\$ 80.72	\$ 125.57	\$ 250.73
22		Residential Sewer:	\$ 80.72	\$ 140.74	\$ 272.92

I		Percentage Increase	oi Kesidentiai watei	r & Sewer Rates Proposed by DIUC:
2			Mel./Bloody Pt	Haig Pt
3		Residential Water:	211%	100%
4		Residential Sewer:	238%	94%
5		While Haig Point's 1	00% increase for wate	r (a doubling) and an 94% increase for
6		sewer is certainly exc	cessive, DIUC's custor	mers living in the Melrose/Bloody
7		Point developments v	will experience a 211%	increase (a tripling) and a 238%
8		increase for water and	d sewer, respectively.	
9		Eliminating th	ne Melrose usage allov	vance and applying DIUC's proposed
10		rates will, therefore, u	unfairly impact those r	esidential water and sewer customers
11		living in the Melrose	and Bloody Point com	nmunities.
12				
13	Q.	DOES THE UTILIT	ΓΥ'S OWN RATE IN	FORMATION SUPPORT
14		RETENTION OF T	HE CURRENT RAT	TE DISCREPANCY BETWEEN
15		MELROSE/BLOOI	OY POINT AND HA	IG POINT?
16	A.	Yes it does. DIUC of	btained the Melrose sy	stem out of bankruptcy. As I explain
17		more fully below, it a	appears that the Utility	paid little or nothing for the Melrose
18		system. Consequentl	y, while I am not a rat	e expert or accountant, it appears to
19		me that the Utility's r	rate-base for the Melro	se/Bloody Point portion of the system
20		is almost zero, or, at	the very least, substant	cially lower than the Haig Point portion
21		of the system. Any	water and sewer rates t	that are fairly based upon the Utility's
22		rate-base must, theref	fore, be substantially lo	ower for the Melrose/Bloody Point
23		customers than they a	are for the Haig Point of	customers.

1	Q.	ARE THERE OTHER FACTS THAT COULD SUPPORT A DENIAL OF
2		DIUC'S REQUEST TO UNIFY ITS WATER AND SEWER RATE
3		STRUCTURE BETWEEN HAIG POINT AND MELROSE/BLOODY
4		POINT?
5	A.	Yes. It is important for the Commission to note that the water system serving
6		Haig Point is completely separate from the water system serving Melrose/Bloody
7		Point. While there is some overlap in sewer treatment between Haig Point and
8		Melrose/Bloody Point, the piping and lift stations are completely separate, and
9		certain portions of the treatment are completely separate as well.
10		For these reasons, the costs of providing water and sewer to these two
11		communities are largely separate and improvements to one system are not likely
12		to benefit the customers of the other.
13		
14	Q.	WOULD YOU PLEASE DESCRIBE THE CURRENT ECONOMIC
15		CONDITIONS ON DAUFUSKIE ISLAND?
16	A.	Property values on Daufuskie Island dropped by a greater amount than anywhere
17		else in Beaufort County, according to the County Administrator, Gary Kubic.
18		They have continued to drop even though the rest of the County has begun a
19		recovery. Haig Point has lost more than 250 members over the last few years and
20		there are lots available for \$1. Many of the owners of lots there and elsewhere on
21		the island always bring up the carrying costs, which include taxes and fees
22		charged by DIUC for nothing other than future availability. Most lots in the

1	communities are unimproved and therefore the owners are burdened by fees for
2	which they are receiving no service.
3	The Daufuskie Island Resort went into bankruptcy in January, 2009. The Inn has
4	been closed since March of that year. If you tour the Island, you can see the
5	cottages on the ocean that are falling apart, no care having been shown to them
6	since 2009.
7	The owner of Melrose, who purchased out of bankruptcy in 2011 owes over \$28
8	million to his lender, and has not paid back anything owed. There are also
9	constant concerns from vendors about their invoices.
10	Bloody Point was purchased out of bankruptcy in 2011 by an individual who has
11	had connections with the island for over 30 years, That family has put in
12	substantial funds to bring back Bloody Point from what most people had called a
13	sad state. But there is concern as to how long anyone can keep putting money
14	into the island.
15	The island is split in two by the line created many years ago within the Coastal
16	Barrier Resource System, known as CBRA, which does not allow for federal
17	flood insurance to be obtained by owners on behalf of the island. The insurance
18	costs on that half of the island can be as much as \$20,000, while on the other side
19	it remains minimal.
20	My point is that this island is in bad shape economically and DIUC is asking to
21	make it worse.
22	In addition to being the President of the Bloody Point POA, I am a real estate
23	broker and I can tell you that the proposed increases will put the availability fees

above the taxes for many lots, and will further prevent owners from retaining their properties on this economically distressed island.

Q.

ARE THERE ANY EXTRAORDINARY MAINTENANCE COSTS THAT DAUFUSKIE PROPERTY OWNERS MUST PAY THAT FURTHER INCREASE THE FINANCIAL HARDSHIP THEY ARE EXPERIENCING? Yes. As a barrier island, Daufuskie has experienced some extraordinary problems that property owners have had and will continue to need to rectify. One example is that property owners had to build two groins into the water to solve a major erosion problem, and we continue to collect for their maintenance to address ongoing potential erosion. The State of South Carolina provided no financial assistance for this project. We also have a crumbling sea wall at Melrose that threatens homes along the beach there. Repairing this wall will cause another major expenditure.

We are an island that gets no help from the county or state; we have no regular ferry system other than the one that Haig Point Club and Community Association provides exclusively to its members. Some property owners within Melrose and Bloody Point have opted to join Haig Point, at great cost, solely in order to have a reliable and necessary link to the mainland.

O. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?

22 A. Yes it does. I appreciate the Commission's consideration of our evidence and 23 the opportunity to present our position before you.